RevCerta Billing Review

Illustrative results for the Focused Pilot

Fictional demonstration only | September 2026 | Prepared 26 October 2026

This sample shows the format of the paid pilot deliverables. All agreements, records, invoice references and amounts are invented. No live customer records or original client documents were inspected. The results demonstrate the method and do not establish client outcomes, live accuracy or recoverable cash.

Summary of the fictional review

Measure	GBP net of tax
Supported underbilling in the example	370.00
Supported overbilling in the example	50.00
Signed net difference	320.00
Pending candidate excluded from net	200.00
Cash recovered	0.00

The GBP 320 signed net difference is GBP 370 underbilling less GBP 50 overbilling. It is a reconciliation measure, not a claim that these items should be offset commercially. The pending GBP 200 is not included.

Selected scope

One fictional legal entity, one managed IT service line, one monthly period and GBP only. The source sheet contains five agreement references, four invoice references and one credit-note reference across ten review rows. F08 repeats F03, leaving nine unique items. This small sample is within the package limits; it is not a test of the maximum workload or seven-day delivery capacity.

How the register works

The accompanying workbook contains Review and Evidence sheets. Expected net charge equals chargeable quantity multiplied by the agreed rate. Net billed equals the invoice amount less its linked credit. Difference equals expected net charge less net billed. A positive difference indicates underbilling and a negative difference indicates overbilling. Status is an evidence judgement; formulas do not determine contractual entitlement.

Findings and evidence trail

ID and status	Evidence and calculation	Next action
F01 | Supported	A01; C01 section 2; SR01; INV01 L1. 30 seats × GBP 25 = GBP 750; invoiced GBP 600; difference +GBP 150.	Finance verifies the six omitted seats before any adjustment.
F02 | Supported	A02; C02 section 3; CH01 effective 1 September. One approved addition × GBP 120; no matched line; difference +GBP 120.	Finance checks the missing line and subsequent corrections.
F03 | Supported	A03; C03 section 2; SR03; INV03 L1. 20 × GBP 40 = GBP 800; invoiced GBP 700; difference +GBP 100.	Finance verifies the agreed rate before rebilling.
F04 | Supported	A04; C04 section 2; SR04; INV04 L1. 10 × GBP 50 = GBP 500; invoiced GBP 550; difference -GBP 50.	Finance reviews the overcharge and any required credit.
F05 | Pending	A05; C05 section 4; CH02. Proposed GBP 200 addition; approval missing; invoiced zero. Candidate +GBP 200 is excluded from supported totals.	Account lead obtains approval and effective date, or excludes the addition.

“Supported” in this report corresponds to “Substantiated” in the workbook and means supported by the fictional evidence statements only. The references identify invented records described in Evidence; they are not links to original contracts or independent verification.

Priority actions

1. Resolve F05 approval before quantifying it as an established discrepancy.
2. Review F04 overbilling alongside F01-F03 underbilling.
3. Confirm corrections and later invoices before making commercial changes.
4. Maintain approved service changes and contract rates against the billing export.

Exceptions and exclusions

Record	Treatment
F06 | Credit resolved	Expected GBP 400; invoice GBP 450 less GBP 50 credit = GBP 400. Remaining difference zero. The credit is applied once.
F07 | Included work	The agreement includes these support hours. Incremental chargeable quantity is zero; no extra fee is inferred.
F08 | Duplicate	Repeats F03 and its GBP 100 difference. Retained for traceability, excluded from all candidate and supported totals.
F09 | Collections only	GBP 300 expected and fully invoiced. The invoice is unpaid, but the billing difference is zero. Collection is separate from underbilling.
F10 | Clear within sample	GBP 600 expected and invoiced. Zero difference within the supplied fictional fields.

Quality checks completed

The workbook totals reconcile to this report: GBP 370, GBP 50, GBP 320 and GBP 200 respectively. Formula recalculation and error scanning were completed in the generation engine. An input-rate change updated the underbilling total and a credit change updated the relevant row; the original inputs were restored before saving. Duplicate and pending records were excluded from supported totals. The same preparer checked the calculations; no independent review or native Excel application test is claimed.

Limitations and client decisions

This is a small synthetic test, not proof of end-to-end production capacity. A real review must validate completeness, contract interpretation, invoice timing, authorised exceptions and credits using approved records. It covers the selected period and accounts only; no annualisation or population extrapolation is made. Your team controls customer communication, invoice adjustments and collection. This is not statutory audit, assurance, tax or legal advice, or a recovery guarantee.

Contact and next step

MOHAMMED MUSTAFA ALSHATIR | RevCerta | hello@revcerta.com

For a proposed review, share the service line, month and record counts first. Live records are accepted only after contracting, payment and data-handling readiness have been confirmed.